

Looking historically at the FCC's response to rule breakers, I have a great deal of concern as to the lack of immediate enforcement powers written into this proposal. The real time nature of HF communications makes the long time period (years or more) from the committing of the rules infraction to final hearings an unbearable burden upon the law abiding citizen. There are other comments referring to the nature of low power RF signals traveling long distances and that the limited scope of the field trials cannot replicate the harm to the RF spectrum of a nation wide roll out of BPL. The need for a means of shutting down the interfering BPL system starting at the reported location up to and including shutting it down nationwide appears to be the only way to meet the current Part 15 rules. And that means is not required in this notice. As drastic as national shutdown may seem from one side of this proposal, the equally valid view is the national and possibly world wide shut down of low powered long distance legal communications if BPL is allow to progress in the HF and low VHF spectrums.